Synthesis and In Vitro Evaluation of the Farnesyltransferase Inhibitor Pepticinnamin E

Klaus Hinterding, Patrizia Hagenbuch, Janos Rétey,* and Herbert Waldmann*[a]

Abstract: The farnesyltransferase inhibitor pepticinnamin E was synthesized and shown to have the S configuration at the central, non-proteinogenic amino acid. Using a recombinant yeast farnesyltransferase the biological activity of the natural product and structural analogues was determined. It was shown that pepticinnamin E is a bisubstrate inhibitor. Furthermore, several structural parameters were identified that decisively influence inhibition of the farnesyl transfer.

Keywords: bioorganic chemistry · enzyme inhibitors · pepticinnamin E · signal transduction

Introduction

Ras proteins are critically involved in the transduction of mitogenic signals given by external growth factors to the cell nucleus.^[1] Point mutations in the corresponding ras genes are found in approximately 40% of all human tumors, particularly in over 90% of human pancreatic carcinomas and 50% of human colon cancers.[2] These mutations affect the guanosine phosphate binding site and lock the protein in its active GTPbound form, thereby generating a permanent signal.^[2b] Ras proteins are located within the plasma membrane; this is achieved by posttranslational modification of the C-terminal CAAX motif of corresponding precursor proteins (C: cysteine, A: aliphatic amino acid, X: methionine or serine), which includes cysteine S-farnesylation, removal of the AAX tripeptide, and transformation of the cysteine to the methyl ester. The farnesylation of the CAAX cysteine, which is catalyzed by the enzyme protein-farnesyltransferase (PFT), is essential to proper functioning of the Ras proteins as transducers of signals in the normal as well as in the transformed state; unlipidated Ras is cytosolic and inactive.[2, 3] Thus, the inhibition of this covalent protein modification has become a promising target in the development of new classes of antitumor agents. $[2, 4, 5]$

Recent results^[5] suggest that inhibitors of farnesyltransferase reduce the growth of transformed cells^[6] by preventing not only the lipidation of Ras proteins but also other cellular targets,[7] which hitherto have not been unequivocally identified. Alternative PFT inhibitors are of pivotal interest in the

study of these biological phenomena and in the development of new therapeutic agents. Modular inhibitors allow the efficient and rapid variation of their structure and their biological activity and are therefore particularly important.^[8] Furthermore, bisubstrate inhibitors, which mimic both the peptide and the farnesyl substrate, are expected to exhibit better affinity and specificity for the enzyme than compounds mimicking either substrate alone.^[9]

Pepticinnamin E (1) (see Scheme 1) was isolated from Streptomyces species and identified as a potent PFT-inhibitor[10] that could fulfil these requirements: it consists of five fragments that can be assembled by peptide coupling. The N-terminal pentenyl acrylic acid may resemble the farnesyl moiety and is connected to an N-methylated peptide part that may mimic the C-terminal CAAX motif of the Ras precursor proteins. Here we describe in full the synthesis of two diastereomers of this unusual peptidic natural product (the absolute configuration of the central, non-proteinogenic amino acid was unknown), as well as the evaluation of their PFT inhibitory activity using a recombinant yeast farnesyltransferase.[11]

Results and Discussion

A retrosynthetic analysis of pepticinnamin E is shown in Scheme 1. It leads to the pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid 2,^[12] the amino acids 3, 4, and 5, and the diketopiperazine 6. Central to the synthesis is the sequence of the fragment coupling. Three possible routes were considered: sequential addition of segments to the C-terminal diketopiperazine 6 (route a), synthesis of the central tripeptide 7 followed by C- and N-terminal modification (route b), and the more convergent coupling of two fragments 8 and 9 (route c). The lastmentioned strategy is hampered by the fact that an amide is

[[]a] Prof. Dr. H. Waldmann, Prof. Dr. J. Rétey, Dr. K. Hinterding, Dipl.-Chem. P. Hagenbuch Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität Richard Willstätter Allee 2, D-76128 Karlsruhe (Germany) $Fax: (+49) 721-608-4825$ E-mail: waldmann@ochhades.chemie.uni-karlsruhe.de

Scheme 1. Structure and retrosynthetic analysis of pepticinnamin E (1).

formed in the central step in which racemization of the tyrosine derivative may occur. Scheme 2 shows the retrosynthetic analysis of the fragments 2, 5 a, and 5b. The pentenyl side chain of acid 2 was to be synthesized by using a *cis*selective Wittig reaction, whereas the acrylic acid should be formed by a trans-selective Knoevenagel condensation from

Scheme 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid 2 and amino acids 5a and 5b.

the disubstituted aromatic compound 10. In order to determine the absolute configuration of the central stereogenic center in the natural product 1 it was planned to synthesize both enantiomers of a suitably protected amino acid derivative $(5a \text{ and } 5b)$ by using the Schöllkopf method.^[13] The retrosynthetic analysis therefore led to the bis ethyl lactim ether 11 and the appropriately substituted benzylic bromide 12, to be synthesized from aromatic aldehyde 13.

Thus chlorination of aldehyde 13 with liquid chlorine in $CH_2Cl_2^{[14]}$ gave 14 in 68% yield (Scheme 3). A directing effect of the free hydroxyl function in 13 may be responsible for this highly regioselective aromatic substitution. The phenol was

Scheme 3. Synthesis of benzyl bromide 12 and amino acids 21 a and 21b in both enantiomeric forms; a) Cl_2 , CH_2Cl_2 , 68%; b) BzlBr, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 85%; c) NaBH₄, then H₂O, 99%; d) CBr₄, PPh₃, Et₂O, 85%; e) 12, THF, 83%, 90% de; f) 0.5 N HCl, THF, H_2O ; g) Boc₂O, MeOH, NEt₃, 88%; h) LiOH \cdot H₂O, THF, H₂O, 99% (\rightarrow 20a, b); i) NaH, MeI, THF, 96% (\rightarrow 21 a, b).

protected as benzyl ether 15 (93% yield) by standard methodology and reduced to benzyl alcohol 16 (99% yield) by using sodium borohydride. The addition of mineral acid during the workup had to be avoided, otherwise unwanted side products, for example, the benzyl chloride, were formed. The alcohol 16 was transformed to benzyl bromide 12 in 85% yield by using PPh₃ and CBr₄ in diethyl ether.^[15] Addition of this alkylating reagent to either one of the enantiomers of the lithiated bis ethyl lactim ether 17 yielded the enantiomeric adducts 18a (R , S configuration) and 18b (S , R configuration) in 83% yield with a diastereomeric excess of 90%. The desired stereoisomers were easily separated from unwanted diastereomers by flash chromatography. The removal of the chiral auxiliary (19 a and 19b) with one equiv of LiOH \cdot H₂O (99% yield) gave acids $20a$ (S configuration) and $20b$ (R configuration). N-Methylated amino acids 21 a (S configuration) and $21b$ (*R* configuration) were formed by using NaH and MeI in THF in 96% yield.[16] In DMF, however, the corresponding methyl ester was obtained as the main product. By this five-step sequence both amino acids $21a$ (S configuration) and $21b$ (*R* configuration) were obtained in enantiomerically pure form from benzylic bromide 12 with an overall yield of 69%.

The synthesis of pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid 2 (Scheme 4) started with the esterification of benzoic acid 10 by using MeI in the presence of K_2CO_3 as a base.^[17] Reaction of aldehyde 22 with $nPrCH=PPh_3$ gave diastereomerically pure *cis*-olefin 23

Scheme 4. Synthesis of pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid 2 and derivative 26; a) MeI, K₂CO₃, acetone, 76%; b) nPrCH=PPh₃, THF, -100° C, 77%; c) LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 97%; d) PCC, CH₂Cl₂, 96%; e) CH₂(COOH)₂, piperidine, pyridine, 70%; f) HOAt, EDC, CH_2Cl_2 , 75%.

after distillation in 77% yield ($de = 84\%$). To achieve this result the addition had to be performed at -100° C and the ylide had to be formed by deprotonation with NaNH₂ and $((CH₃)₃Si)₂NH$ to avoid the use of lithium salts. Transformation of ester 23 to aldehyde 25 was performed in a two-step sequence involving reduction with $LiAlH₄$ to the benzyl alcohol 24 and selective oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC). The synthesis of acid 2 was completed by a trans-selective Knoevenagel condensation of aldehyde 25 with malonic acid and decarboxylation in the presence of a catalytic amount of piperidine. By using this five-step sequence diastereomerically pure acrylic acid 2 was synthesized on a multigramm scale with an overall yield of 38%. Furthermore, this acid could be transformed into activated esters such as hydroxyazobenzotriazole (HOAt) ester 26.

Initial efforts to sequentially add further fragments to the C-terminal diketopiperazine $6^{[18]}$ (route a) were unsuccessful. Therefore, in accord with route b, the central tripeptide 7 was synthesized in both diastereomeric forms by using hydroxyazobenzotriazole (HOAt) and N,N-dimethylaminopropyl ethyl carbodiimide (EDC) as coupling reagents (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. a) 18a or 18b, EDC, HOAt, DMF, 77% (S, S) , 81% (R, S) ; b) HCl, Et₂O, quant.; c) Z-(R)-Tyr(Z), EDC, HOAt, DMF, 77% (R,S,S), 75% (R, R, S) ; d) Pd(PPh₃)₄, morpholine, CH₂Cl₂, 89% (R, S, S) , 88% $(R, R, S); e)$ 6, DEAD, PPh₃, DMF, 55% (R, S, S, R) , 53% $(R, R, S, R); f)$ H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, EtOAc,HOAc; g) for epi-pepticinnamin E: 2, EDC, HOAt, NEt₃, DMF, 23%; for pepticinnamin E: 26 , NEt₃, DMF, 33%.

To this end, the suitably protected N-methylated S-configured Phe derivative 27 was coupled with both enantiomers 21 a (S) configuration) and $21b$ (*R* configuration) to give the diastereomeric dipeptides 28 a (S,S configuration) and 28 b (R,S configuration) in a yield of about 80%. The Boc group was cleaved quantitatively with a saturated solution of HCl in dry diethyl ether to yield 29a (S, S configuration) and 29b (R, S) configuration). Subsequently, the fully protected diastereomeric tripeptides **7a** (R, S, S) configuration) and **7b** (R, R, S) configuration) were built up by coupling of the corresponding secondary amine with $bis-Z$ -protected (R) -Tyr. To complete the synthesis of the natural product, the C-terminal allyl ester protecting group had to be removed first by Pd⁰-catalyzed transfer of the allyl group to morpholine as an accepting nucleophile to give 30 a (R, S, S) configuration) and 30 b (R, R, S) configuration). Attempts to form the esters $31a$ (R,S,S,R configuration) and 31b (R, R, S, R) configuration by using DMAP-mediated activation of the carboxylic acids (e.g., the use of DMAP and carbodiimide^[19a] or the alcoholysis of mixed anhydrides^[19b]) failed or gave yields below 20%. In these transformations DMF had to be used as a solvent for the highly polar diketopiperazine 6, but DMAP is catalytically

inactive in polar solvents.^[19a] However, activation of the alcohol by means of the Mitsunobu reagent^[20] yielded an electrophilic species, which was attacked by the nucleophilic carboxylate to give the desired esters $31a$ (R, S, S, R configuration) and $31b$ (R, R, S, R configuration) in yields higher than 50%. Finally all three benzyl protecting groups present in peptide 31 could be removed by Pd/C-catalyzed hydrogenolysis in the presence of acetic acid. The compounds formed thereby were immediately transformed into both epimers of the natural product to avoid decomposition. epi-Pepticinnamin E was thus synthesized by treatment of the deprotected amine 31b (R, R, S, R) configuration) with pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid 2 in the presence of EDC and HOAt in 23% yield. In an improved procedure, the natural product pepticinnamin E was obtained by coupling of the deprotected amine 31 a (R,S,S,R configuration) with the preactivated HOAt ester 26 in 33% yield. Pepticinnamin E embodies the S-configured enantiomer of the central amino acid. The use of the active ester thus reduced the formation of an unwanted acetamide and simplified the purification of the final product. The identity of compound $1 (R, S, S, R)$ configuration) as the natural product was confirmed by comparison of HPLC retention times in four different solvents, specific optical rotation values, and 500 MHz ¹H NMR spectra with that of an authentic sample. [21]

Inhibition of PFTase by the pepticinnamin E isomers was studied by using an in vitro assay described by Pompliano et al.[22] In this analytical procedure the enzyme-catalyzed reaction between a fluorescence-labeled substrate peptide (Dans-GCVLS) and farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) is monitored by taking advantage of the hypsochromic shift of the fluorescence emission of the dansylated substrate peptide upon enzyme catalyzed farnesylation (Scheme 6). A recombinant Ras-farnesyltransferase from S. cerevisiae,^[23] that was expressed in the pT7-7/E. coli BL21 system, was used as target enzyme. [24]

The Michaelis - Menten analysis of the kinetic data yielded K_M (the concentration of substrate at which the reaction rate v is half that of the maximum value) of the enzyme with respect to the FPP substrate $(K_M = 14 \mu)$ and the Dans-GCVLS substrate $(K_M = 9 \mu M).$ ^[25] A Lineweaver-Burk plot (see Figure 1 for variation of peptide substrate and Figure 2 for variation of FPP substrate) gave straight lines crossing in one point on the y axis and therefore indicating that the natural product is a competitive inhibitor with respect to both the peptide and FPP substrate. Table 1 shows the values of K_M and K_1 (K_1 : concentration of inhibitor at which the K_M value of the enzyme for the corresponding substrate is doubled). A rationalization for the observation that the natural product is a bisubstrate analogue is depicted in Figure 3: the pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid part of pepticinnamin E may mimic the FPP moiety of the transition state of farnesyl transfer, whereas the CAAX-peptide part is imitated by the N-methylated peptidic fragment of the natural product. To examine which structural features are necessary for inhibitory activity the IC₅₀ values (concentration of inhibitor at which 50% activity of the enzyme is observed) of pepticinnamin $E(1a)$, epi-pepticinnamin E (1b), allyl esters $7a$ and $7b$, and acids 30 a and 30b were determined. The data compiled in Table 2

Scheme 6. In vitro farnesylation assay: upon farnesylation the fluorescence emission of the dansylated substrate peptide shifts to shorter wavelengths.

Figure 1. Lineweaver-Burk plot of reciprocal activity versus reciprocal peptide substrate concentration; the different straight lines correspond to variing inhibitor concentrations ($c_{\text{Inh}} = 0 \,\mu$ m, 5.5 μ m, 27.5 μ m).

demonstrate that the absolute configuration of the central amino acid is essential for biological activity. On the one hand the natural product $1a$ is six times more active than its unnatural epimer 1b. On the other hand, only the (R, S, S) configured acid 30 a is an inhibitor, whereas the diastereomeric acid 30b is not active under the assay conditions. Furthermore, the C- and N-terminal modifications, namely the pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid and the diketopiperazine, are not necessary for inhibitory activity (Table 2, entry 2) and that the exact aromatic substitution pattern of the central amino acid does not play a key role for inhibition. Based on this

Figure 2. Lineweaver-Burk plot of reciprocal activity versus reciprocal FPP substrate concentration; the different straight lines correspond to different inhibitor concentrations ($c_{\text{Inh}} = 0 \,\mu\text{m}$, 13.75 μm).

Table 1. K_M values of the recombinant yeast PFTase for the Dans-GCVLSpeptide and the FPP-substrate as well as K_I values of pepticinnamin E with respect to both substrates.

K_{M} [μ M] for Dan- K_{M} [μ M] for FPP K_{I} [μ M] with re-		gard to Dansyl-	K_{I} μ M with
syl-GCVLS		GCVLS	regard to FPP
Q	14	30	

Figure 3. Pepticinnamin E is a bisubstrate inhibitor. As a rationalization the pentenyl phenyl acrylic acid may imitate FPP and the peptide part may mimic the CAAX motif.

Table 2. IC_{50} values of pepticinnamin E (1a), the unnatural epimer 1b, and further analogues.

Entry	Structure	Compound	IC_{50} value
1	BnO OMe — йн Me OAII \mathcal{N}_{Θ} \mathcal{O}_{Θ} OZ	7a(R,S,S) $7\mathbf{b}$ (R,R,S)	no inhibition no inhibition
\overline{c}	BnO OMe у ИН Me OН \mathbb{N}_Θ \mathbb{R} OZ	30 a (R, S, S) 30 \mathbf{b} (R, R, S)	67 µм no inhibition
3	pepticinnamin E	1a	$42 \mu M$
4	epi-pepticinnamin E	$epi-1$	237 µм

information a more detailed investigation of the structureactivity relationships, for example, by combinatorial synthesis of pepticinnamin E analogues, will be possible.

Experimental Section

General: Melting points were determined in open capillaries using a Büchi 535 apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 250, AM 400, or DRX 500 spectrometer at room temperature. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker IFS 88 spectrometer. Mass spectra and high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a Finnigan MAT MS70 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed on a Heraeus CHN-Rapid apparatus. An LS50B spectrometer from Perkin-Elmer was used for the fluorimetric observation of the enzymatic reaction. The rections were run in precision cuvettes 115F-OS from Hellma, Germany.

Materials: Solvents were dried by standard methods and stored over molecular sieves. For column chromatography silica gel $(40 - 60 \mu m, Baker)$ was used. Commercial reagents were used without further purification. FPP (Sigma) was used as a 2.3mm solution in MeOH. The Dans-GCVLS substrate peptide was obtained from ZMBH, Heidelberg. Where indicated reactions were performed under nitrogen or argon.

Several compounds were prepared according to literature methods: 2-chloro-3-hydroxy-4-methoxy benzaldehyde (14) ;^[14] $(3R)$ - and $(3S)$ -bis lactim ether $11;^{[13]}$ 2-methoxycarbonylbenzaldehyde $(22);^{[26]}$ (S)-N-tertbutyloxycarbonyl-N-methylphenylalanine; N -benzyloxycarbonyl- $(O$ benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosine:^[30] m.p. 88 °C; 117 °C [30]; [a] $_D^2 = -2.1$ $(c=0.5, HOAc); [\alpha]_D^{\infty} = +5 (c=10, HOAc).^{[30]}$ Various values for specific rotation and melting points are described in the literature; cyclo-glycyl-(R) serine 6;^[18] m.p. 225 °C; ref. [18] 227 °C; [a] $_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}} = -44.8$ ($c = 0.58$, MeOH). 3-Benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy benzaldehyde (15):[28] Benzyl bromide $(4.5 \text{ mL}, 38 \text{ mmol})$ was added to a solution of phenol 14 (5 g, 27 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (5.19 g, 52 mmol) in DMF (50 mL). After stirring for 2 d at room temperature water (500 mL) was added. The precipitate was filtered off and recrystallized from ethyl acetate/hexane to yield colorless crystals. Yield: 6.97 g, 25 mmol, 93%; m.p. 88 °C, ref. [28]: 86–87 °C; ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3$, 250 MHz): $\delta = 3.95$ (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.08 (s, 2 H, PhCH₂O), 6.93 (d, $3J = 9$ Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.39 (m, 3 H, arom. CH), 7.53 (m, 2 H, arom. CH), 7.75 (d, $3J = 9$ Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 10.35 (s, 1 H, CHO).

3-Benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (16):^[28] NaBH₄ (400 mg, 10.6 mmol) was added to a solution of aldehyde 15 (1 g, 3.6 mmol) in a mixture of THF (40 mL) and MeOH (60 mL). After stirring for 5 h at 40° C water (100 mL) was added and stirring was continued for another 30 min The reaction mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 100 mL) and the combined organic layers were dried with Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo yielded a slowly crystallizing oil, which was used without further purification. Yield: 1 g, 3.6 mmol, 99%; $R_f = 0.30$ (ethyl acetate/ hexane = 1/1 (v/v)); m.p. 54° C (ref. [28]: $55-57^{\circ}$ C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 1.92$ (t, $\delta J = 7$ Hz, 1 H, OH), 3.89 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.72 (d, $\delta J =$ 7 Hz, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.05 (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 6.85 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.17 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.38 (m, 3 H, arom. CH), 7.55 (m, 2H, arom. CH).

3-Benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy benzyl bromide (12) : PPh₃ $(1.62 g,$ 6.2 mmol) was added to a solution of alcohol 16 (1 g, 3.6 mmol) in diethyl ether (30 mL) followed by CBr₄ (2.05 g, 6.2 mmol). After 20 h at room temperature the precipitate was filtered off, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 1/4 (v/v), $R_f = 0.35$) to yield white crystals. Yield: 1.04 g, 3.05 mmol, 85 %; m.p. 76 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 3.88 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.61 (s, 2H, CH₂Br), 5.05 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 6.82 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.17 (d, $\delta J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.37 (m, 3H, arom. CH), 7.54 (m, 2H, arom. CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 31.59$ (CH₂Br), 56.15 (OCH₃), 74.87 (OCH₂Ph), 110.54, 126.25 (arom. CH), 128.19 (quart. C), 128.35, 128.40, 128.45, 128.71 (arom. CH), 129.39, 137.05, 144.79, 154.29 $(quart.C); IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 3421, 3035, 2946, 2887, 2844, 1863, 1592, 1491, 1367,$ 1278, 1047, 809, 696 cm⁻¹; MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 340/342/344 (7/10/5) [M⁺], 261/263 (37/12) $[M^+ - Br]$, 170/172 (23/7) $[M^+ - Br - CH_2Ph]$, 141 (6), 107 (10), 91 (100), 65 (8); HRMS (70 eV): calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}BrClO_2$: 339.9866,

Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, No. 1 © WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 1999 0947-6539/99/0501-0231 \$ 17.50+.50/0 231

found: 339.9857; elemental analysis: calcd C 52.83, H 4.14, found C 53.09 H 4.18.

 $(3S, 6R)$ - and $(3R, 6S)$ -3- $(3-Benzyboxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)benzyl-6-iso$ propyl-2,5-bisethyl lactim ether (18 a,b): To a solution of the (3R)- or (3S)-bislactim ether $11^{[13]}$ (1 g, 4.71 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was added dropwise at -78° C a solution of *nBuLi* in hexane (2.2m, 2.26 mL, 4.95 mmol). After stirring for 20 min a cooled solution $(-78 °C)$ of benzyl bromide 12 (1.61 g, 4.71 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 5 h at $-78\degree$ C and then allowed to warm to room temperature. Addition of water (10 mL) was followed by extraction with diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and after evaporation of the solvent in vacuo the product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane $= 1/8$ (v/v), $R_f = 0.25$ (main diastereomer), $R_f = 0.19$ (side product)). Overall yield: 1.93 g, 4.08 mmol, 87%; diastereomeric ratio = 95/5; $[a]_D^{30} = -12.06$ (c= 0.94, EtOH for the (3R,6S) enantiomer); $[\alpha]_D^{\text{20}} = +12.05$ (c = 0.94, EtOH for the (3S,6R) enantiomer); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 0.67$ (d, ³J = 7 Hz, 3 H, Val-CH₃), 1.00 (d, $3I = 7$ Hz, 3 H, Val-CH₃), 1.24 (t, $3I = 7$ Hz, 3 H, Et-CH₃), 1.30 (t, ³J = 7 Hz, 3H, Et-CH₃), 2.22 (m, 1H, Val-CH), 2.99 (dd, 27 – 14 Hz, ³J – 6 Hz, 1H, benz, CH₂), 3.38 (dd, ²J – 14 Hz, ³J – 6 Hz, 1H $J = 14$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, 1H, benz. CH₂), 3.38 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 14$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 5$ Hz, 1H, benz. CH₂), 3.54 (dd, ³J = 6 Hz, ³J = 5 Hz, 1H, NCH), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), $3.95 - 4.32$ (m, 5H, 2Et-CH₂, Val-CH), 5.00 (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 6.75 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 6.93 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.37 (m, 3 H, arom. CH), 7.55 (m, 2H, arom. CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 14.38$ (2Val-CH3), 16.55 (Et-CH3), 19.14 (Et-CH3), 31.33 (Val-CH), 37.22 (benz. CH₂), 56.06 (OCH₃), 56.24 (NCH), 60.27 (NCH), 60.56 (Et-CH₂), 60.63 (Et-CH₂), 74.68 (PhCH₂O), 110.05, 126.34, 127.98, 128.28, 128.40 (arom. CH), 129.18, 129.71, 137.38, 144.12, 152.33 (quart.C), 162.67 (C=N), 163.43 (C=N); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2972, 2899, 2870, 2838, 1692, 1597, 1490, 1238, 1046,$ 698 cm⁻¹; MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 474/472 (12/38) [M⁺], 437 (12) [M⁺ – Cl], 429 (8) $[M^+ - CH(CH_3)_2]$, 381 (3), 263 (25) [arom. fragment], 261 (80) [arom. fragment], 211/210 (60/37) [bis-lactim ether fragment], 170 (12), 169 (93) [arom. fragment], 141 (14) [arom. fragment], 91 (100) [PhCH₂⁺], 43 (3) [CH(CH₃)₂]; HRMS (70 eV): calcd for $C_{26}H_{33}CIN_2O_4$: 472.2129, found.: 472.2111; elemental analysis: calcd C 66.01, H 7.05, N 6.92, found: C 66.28, H 7.08, N 6.70.

(R)- and (S)-N-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy) phenylalanine ethyl ester (19 a, 19b): A solution of bis lactim ether adduct 18a (3S, 6R-config.) or 18b (3R, 6S-config.)(1.82 g, 3.82 mmol) in a mixture of THF (15 mL) and 0.5m HCl (25 mL, 12.5 mmol) was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent in vacuo the residue was dissolved in MeOH (100 mL). Boc₂O (2.50 g, 11.46 mmol) and NEt₃ (1.07 mL, 7.64 mmol) were added and the solution was stirred for another 15 h at room temperature. Addition of diethyl ether (200 mL) was followed by washing with 0.5 M HCl $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 1/2 (v/v), R_f = 0.51) yielded white crystals. Yield: 1.56 g, 3.36 mmol, 88%; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{\text{20}} = -12.4$ ($c = 0.5$, CH₂Cl₂ for the R enantiomer); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +12.5$ ($c = 0.6$, CH₂Cl₂ for the S enantiomer; m.p. 80 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 1.20$ (t, ³J = 7 Hz, 3 H, Et-CH₃), 1.39 (s, 9H, Boc-CH₃), 3.07 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ³J = 6 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.21 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ³J = 6 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.14 (q, $3J = 7$ Hz, 2H, Et-CH₂), 4.55 (t, $3J = 6$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.00 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 6.76 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.91 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.36 (m, 3H, arom. CH), 7.51 (m, 2H, arom. CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 14.08$ (Et-CH₃), 28.28 (Boc-CH₃), 35.71 (Et-CH₂), 53.74 (a-CH), 56.09 (OCH₃), 61.38 (β -CH₂), 74.73 (PhCH₂), 79.77 (quart. C, Boc), 110.47, 125.91 (arom. CH), 127.31 (quart. C), 128.06, 128.23, 128.42 (arom. CH), 129.47, 137.17, 144.37, 152.92, (quart. C), 155.03 (C=O), 172.03 (C=O); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 463 (3) [M⁺], 407 (5) [M⁺ – C(CH₃)₃], 390 (3), 311 (2), 263 (5), 261 (18), 256 (26), 221 (15), 171 (23), 91 (100), 57 (28); HRMS (70 eV): calcd for $C_{24}H_{30}NClO_6$: 463.1762, found: 463.1774; elemental analysis: calcd C 62.12, H 6.53, N 3.02 found C 61.96, H 6.56, N 2.86.

(R)- and (S)-N-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy) phenylalanine (20a, 20b): LiOH \cdot H₂O (185 mg, 4.4 mmol) was dissolved in water (35 mL) and added to a solution of the enantiomeric ethyl ester 19a or 19b (1.96 g, 4.2 mmol) in THF (80 mL). After stirring for 3 h at room temperature the pH was adjusted to $4-5$ by addition of $0.5M$ HCl. Extraction with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 80 mL) and drying of the combined organic layers with $Na₂SO₄$ was followed by evaporation of the solvent in vacuo. Chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 2/1 (v/v), R_f = 0.32) yielded a white foam. Yield: 1.86 g, 4.1 mmol, 99%; m.p. 46 °C; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{\infty}$ +15.7 (c = 0.94, EtOH for the R enantiomer); $[\alpha]_{D}^{\infty}$ = -15.3 (c = 0.7, EtOH for the *S* enantiomer); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 1.40 (s, 9H, Boc-CH₃), 2.80 - 3.15 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.28 - 3.48 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.84 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.59 (m, 1H, α -CH), 5.02 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 6.80 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.96 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.36 (m, 3H, arom. CH), 7.54 (m, 2H, arom. CH); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 435 (2) [M⁺], 379 (17) $[M^+ - C(CH_3)_3]$, 261 (16), 225 (3), 171 (45), 91 (100), 57 (20); HRMS (70 eV): calcd for $C_{22}H_{26}NClO_6$: 435.1449, found: 435.1437; elemental analysis: calcd C 60.31, H 6.02, N 3.21 found C 60.11, H 6.04, N 2.93.

(R)- and (S)-N-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4 methoxy)phenylalanine (21a, 21b): NaH (320 mg, 13.2 mmol) was added under nitrogen at 0° C to a solution of the enantiomeric urethane 20a or 20b (1.92 g, 4.4 mmol) and MeI (1.12 mL, 17.6 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL). Within 16 h the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After addition of ethyl acetate (20 mL) and $NH₃$ (25%, 3 mL) it was stirred for another 30 min The pH was adjusted to $3-4$ by addition of 2M HCl and extraction with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 80 \text{ mL})$ was followed by washing of the combined organic layers with a concentrated solution of $Na₂SO₃$ in water (30 mL). Drying of the organic layer with $Na₂SO₄$, evaporation of the solvent in vacuo, and chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = $3/2$ (v/v), $R_f = 0.33$) yielded a white solid. Yield: 1.90 g (4.2 mmol), 96%; m.p. 48 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}} = +82.3$ (c = 0.35, CH₂Cl₂ for the R enantiomer); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}} = -82.0$ (c = 0.35, CH_2Cl_2 for the *S* enantiomer); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 1.37$, 1.45 (s, 9H, Boc-CH₃), 2.70 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 3.05 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.35 (m, 1H, β-CH₂), 3.85 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.62 (m, 1H, α-CH), 5.04 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 6.78 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.86 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.38 (m, 3H, arom. CH), 7.51 (m, 2H, arom. CH); ¹³H NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 28.20$ (Boc-CH₃), 28.30 (N-CH₃), 33.17 (β -CH₂), 56.08 $(OCH₃), 59.59 (a-CH), 74.71 (PhCH₂), 80.76 (Boc-quart. C), 110.59, 126.16,$ 128.10, 128.30, 128.48 (arom. CH), 137.07, 144.22, 152.75, 152.87 (quart. C), 154.98 (COOH), 176.26 (C=O); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 449 (2) [M⁺], 393 (8) $[M^+ - C(CH_3)_3]$, 320 (3) $[M^+ - N(CH_3)COOC(CH_3)_3]$, 318 (8), 261 (16), 178 (6), 171 (22), 91 (100), 57 (56); HRMS (70 eV): calcd for $C_{23}H_{28}NClO_6$: 449.1605, found: 449.1587; elemental analysis: calcd C 60.48, H 6.29, N 3.11, found C 60.74, H 6.27, N 2.99.

Methyl 2-(1-Z-pentenyl)benzoate (23) :^[27] NaNH₂ (2.1 g, 53.8 mmol) and $((CH₃)₃Si)₂NH (850 mg, 5.3 mmol)$ were added unter nitrogen to a solution of nBu_3PPh_3Br (21.4 g, 53.6 mmol) in dry THF (170 mL). The suspension was stirred at 40° C for 6 h, filtered under nitrogen, and the residue washed with dry THF $(2 \times 15 \text{ mL})$. The mixture was cooled to -100° C and a solution of aldehyde 22 (8 g, 48.7 mmol) in dry THF (40 mL) was added dropwise within 1 h. After stirring for another 30 min at -100° C the reaction mixture was poured into warm THF (200 mL, 40° C). Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo was followed by the addition of hexane (100 mL). The white precipitate was filtered off and after evaporation of the solvent in vacuo distillation under reduced pressure yielded the diastereomerically pure product as colorless oil. Yield: 7.35 g, 35.9 mmol, 74%; b.p. 62° C/ 2.7×10^{-1} mbar) (ref. [27]: b.p. 66 °C ($p = 3 \times 10^{-1}$ mbar)); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 0.88$ (t, ³J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.43 (sext, ³J = 7 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.10 (quart, ³J = 7 Hz, 2H, CHCH₂), 3.88 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.72 (dt, ${}^{3}J = 7 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 12 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, CHCH₂), 6.87 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 12 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, CHCHCH2), 7.30 (m, 2H, arom. CH), 7.45 (m, 1H, arom. CH), 7.94 (m, 1H, arom. CH).

2-(1-Z-Pentenyl)-benzyl alcohol (24) :^[27] LiAlH₄ $(1.85 g, 50 mmol)$ was added to a solution of ester 23 (6.62 g, 30.6 mmol) in dry THF (250 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 3 h water (20 mL) was added carefully followed by 1m HCl (30 mL). The solution was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried with MgSO4 . Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo yielded a colorless oil which was used without further purification. Yield: 5.24 g (29.7 mmol), 97%; ³H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 0.88$ (t, ³J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.41 (sext, δ ₇ – 7 Hz, 2H CH₃CH₃), 1.41 CH₃CH₃ $J = 7$ Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 1.77 (br, 1H, OH), 2.11 (quart, ${}^{3}J = 7$ Hz, 2H, CHCH₂), 4.66 (d, ³J = 6 Hz, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.78 (dt, ³J = 7 Hz, ³J_{cis} = 12 Hz, 1H, CHCH₂), 6.55 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 12$ Hz, 1H, CHCHCH₂), 7.18 – 7.45 (m, 4H, arom. CH).

2- $(1-Z-Pentenv)$ -benzyl aldehyde (25) : PCC $(2.45 g, 11.4 mmol)$ was added at room temperature to a solution of alcohol 24 (1 g, 5.7 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). After stirring for 2 h the suspension was filtered over celite and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = $1/10$ (v/v), $R_f = 0.33$) yielded a colorless oil. Yield: 948 mg, 5.4 mmol, 96%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 0.87$ (t, ³J = 7 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.41 (sext, ³J = 7 Hz, 2H, CH₃CH₂), 2.05 (qd, ³J = 7 Hz, ³J - 1 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₂), 5.95 (dt, ³J - 13 Hz, ³J - 7 Hz, 1H $J = 1$ Hz, 2H, CH₃CH₂CH₂), 5.95 (dt, ³ $J_{\text{cis}} = 13$ Hz, ³ $J = 7$ Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=), 6.82 (dd, ³ $J_{\text{cis}} = 13$ Hz, ³ $J = 1$ Hz, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 7.28 (d, 3 $J - 6$ Hz, 1H, arom CH), 7.55 (a, 3 $J J = 6$ Hz, 1H, arom.CH), 7.40 (t, $\frac{3J}{5} = 6$ Hz, 1H, arom.CH), 7.55 (q, $\frac{3J}{5} =$ 6 Hz, 1H, arom.CH), 7.90 (d, $3J = 6$ Hz, 1H, arom.CH), 10.28 (s, 1H, CHO); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 13.90$ (CH₃), 22.78 (CH₂), 30.64 (CH₂), 125.51 (CH), 127.38 (CH), 128.67 (CH), 130.62 (CH), 132.32 (quart.C), 133.72 (CH), 136.33 (CH), 141.20 (quart.C), 192.64 (CHO); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2959$, 2931, 2872, 1696, 1597, 1198, 765 cm⁻¹; MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 174 (9) $[M^+]$, 145 (17) $[M^+ - CHO]$, 132 (30), 131 (100) $[M^+ -$ CH₃CH₂CH₂)], 117 (10) $[M^+ - CH_3CH_2CH_2CH]$, 115 (21), 103 (9) $[M^+ -$ CH₃CH₂CH₂ – CHO], 91 (11), 77 (7); HRMS (70 eV) calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O : 174.1045, found: 174.1029.

3-(2-(1-Z-Pentenyl)phenyl)-E-acrylic acid (2) :^[12] Malonic acid $(3.18 g,$ 31 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (15 mL) and the solution was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. Aldehyde 25 (4.31 g, 25 mmol) and piperidine ($215 \mu L$) were added and the solution was heated for 4 h at 100° C. After cooling to room temperature the mixture was poured onto a mixture of ice (10 mL) and concentrated HCl (5 mL) and diluted with water (30 mL). The precipitate was filtered off, dissolved in diethyl ether (100 mL) , and washed with 1N HCl $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The aqueous filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 70 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried with $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue crystallized from hexane. Yield: 3.75 g, 17 mmol, 70%, white crystals; m.p. 72 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 0.87 (t, ³J = 7 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.41 (sext, ${}^{3}J = 7$ Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.02 (quart, ${}^{3}J = 7$ Hz, 2H, CH₂CH), 5.88 (dt, ³J = 7 Hz, ³J_{cis} = 12 Hz, 1H, CHCH₂), 6.40 (d, ³J_{trans} = 15 Hz, 1H, CHCOOH), 6.56 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 12$ Hz, 1H, CHCHCH₂), 7.20 – 7.41 (m, 3H, arom. CH), 7.65 (d, $\frac{3J}{6} = 6$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 8.02 (d, $\frac{3J}{1}$ _{trans} 15 Hz, 1H, CHCHCOOH).

3-(2-(1-Z-Pentenyl)phenyl)-E-acrylic acid hydroxyazo benzotriazolyl ester (26): Ethyl dimethylaminopropyl carbodiimide (170 mg, 0.92 mmol) was added to a solution of acid 2 (100 mg, 0.46 mmol) and hydroxyazobenzotriazole (124 mg, 0.92 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 3 h the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = $1/2$ (v/v), $R_f = 0.29$) yielded a colorless oil. Yield: 123 mg, 0.35 mmol, 75 %; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 0.89$ $(t, \frac{3J}{8} = 8 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{ H}, \text{ CH}_3), 1.44 \text{ (sext., } \frac{3J}{8} = 8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{ H}, \text{ CH}_3\text{CH}_2), 2.04 \text{ (dq, } \frac{3J}{8} =$ $8 \text{ Hz}, \, \, \, \frac{3}{J} = 0.7 \text{ Hz}, \, 2\text{ H}, \, \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$), $5.90 \text{ (dt}, \, \, \frac{3}{J} = 6 \text{ Hz}, \, \, \frac{3}{J}\text{cis} = 12 \text{ Hz}, \, 1\text{ H},$ CH₂CH=CH), 6.59 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 12$ Hz, 1H, CH=CHCH₂), 6.76 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} =$ 16 Hz, 1H, COCH=CH), 7.28 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.38 – 7.46 (m, 3H, arom. CH), 7.76 (d, $\frac{3J}{8} = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 8.32 (d, $\frac{3J}{1\text{trans}} =$ 16 Hz, 1 H, COCH=CH), 8.46 (d, $3J = 7$ Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 8.75 (d, $4J =$ 2 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 334 (0.1) $[M^+]$, 200 (14), 199 (100) $[M^+ - C_5H_3N_4O]$, 171 (7) $[M^+ - C_5H_3N_4O - CH_3CH_2]$, 157 (20) $[M^+ - C_5H_3N_4O - CH_3CH_2CH_2]$, 128 (22), 115 (16), 55 (18); HRMS (70 eV) calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}N_4O_2$: 334.1430, found: 334.1442.

(S)-N-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-N-methylphenylalanine allyl ester: Diisopropylcarbodiimide $(0.67 \text{ mL}, 8.6 \text{ mmol})$ was added to a solution of (S) -N-tertbutyloxycarbonyl-N-methylphenylalanine (1.14 g, 4.3 mmol), allyl alcohol (1.2 mL, 17.2 mmol), and DMAP (105 mg, 0.86 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL). After stirring for 2 h at room temperature the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = $1/5$ (v/v), $R_f = 0.30$). Yield: 1.13 g, 3.7 mmol, 85%, colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_D^{\infty} = -67.7$ ($c = 0.75$, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta =$ 1.30 [1.34] (s, 9H, Boc-CH₃), 2.70 [2.75] (s, 3H, NCH₃), 3.05 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.30 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 4.64 (m, 2H, allyl. OCH₂), 4.94 (m, 1H, α -CH), 5.29 (m, 2H, allyl. CH=CH₂), 5.90 (m, 1H, allyl. CH=CH₂), 7.10 - 7.35 (m, 5H, arom. CH); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 319 (7) [M⁺], 246 (8) $[M^+ - (CH_3)_3CO]$, 188 (23) $[M^+ - (CH_3)_3COCONCH_3]$, 178 (52), 134 (63), 128 (100), 57 (89); HRMS (70 eV): calcd for C₁₈H₂₅NO₄: 319.1784, found: 319.1772.

(S)-N-Methylphenylalanine allyl ester hydrotrifluoro acetate (27): $CF₃COOH$ (3 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of $(S)₋Nett$ butyloxycarbonyl-N-methylphenylalanine allyl ester (1.02 g, 3.3 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL). After stirring for 1 h at room temperature the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dried for 3 d in vacuo. The slowly formed crystals were used without further purification. Yield: quantitative.

 $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}} = +28$ (c = 0.8, EtOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 250 MHz): δ = 2.75 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 3.20 (dd, ²J = 15 Hz, ³J = 7 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.48 (dd, ²J = 15 Hz,
³J – 7 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 4.34 (t, ³J – 7 Hz, 1H, *g*-CH), 4.65 (d, ³J – 8 Hz, 2H $J = 7$ Hz, 1 H, β -CH₂), 4.34 (t, ³ $J = 7$ Hz, 1 H, α -CH), 4.65 (d, ³ $J = 8$ Hz, 2 H, allyl. OCH₂), 5.25 (d, $\beta J_{\text{trans}} = 10 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, allyl. CH=CH₂), 5.30 (d, $\beta J_{\text{cis}} =$ 8 Hz, 1 H, allyl. CH=CH₂), 5.82 (m, 1 H, allyl. CH=CH₂), 7.25 - 7.40 (m, 5 H, arom. CH); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 220 (0.1) [M⁺], 199 (0.3), 136 (6), 135 (64) $[M^+ - COOAll]$, 129 (100), 120 (4), 92 (7), 42 (12); HRMS (70 eV): calcd for C₁₃H₁₈NO₂: 220.1338, found: 220.1347.

N-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(R) phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine allyl ester (28b): To a cooled (0 \degree C) solution of amine 27 (54 mg, 0.21 mmol), acid 21b (100 mg, 0.21 mmol), HOAt (50 mg, 0.32 mmol), and NEt₃ (28 μ L, 0.21 mmol) in dry DMF (2 mL) was added EDC (70 mg, 0.32 mmol). After 15 min the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 14 h. After addition of ethyl acetate (50 mL) and washing with 0.5 M HCl (2 \times 10 mL) and water (10 mL) the organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = $1/2$ (v/v), $R_f = 0.30$) to yield a colorless oil. Yield: 110 mg, 0.17 mmol, 81%; $[\alpha]_D^{\infty} = +113$ ($c = 0.5$, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 1.15 [1.30] (s, 9H, Boc-CH₃), 2.75 – 3.08 (m, 11 H, 2NCH₃, 3 \times β -CH₂), 3.48 (m, 1 H, β -CH₂), 3.81 [3.82] $(s, 3H, OCH_3)$, 4.63 (d, $3I = 7 Hz$, 2H, allyl. OCH₂), 5.03 (m, 3H, PhCH₂, α -CH), 5.24 (d, ${}^{3}I_{\text{cis}} = 9$ Hz, 1 H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.25 (m, 1 H, α -CH), 5.30 (d, ${}^{3}I_{\text{c}} = 16$ Hz, 1 H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.88 (m, 1 H, allyl-CH), 6.65–6.85 (m ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 16$ Hz, 1H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.88 (m, 1H, allyl. CH), 6.65 – 6.85 (m, 2H, arom. CH), 7.25 (m, 8H, arom. CH), 7.55 (m, 2H, arom. CH); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 28.00$ [28.21] (Boc-CH₃), 28.99 (NCH₃), 31.89 $(NCH₃), 32.95$ [34.63] (β -CH₂), 37.79 (β -CH₂), 54.77 (α -CH), 56.15 (OCH₃), 58.52 (a-CH), 66.00 [65.88] (allyl. OCH2), 74.73 (PhCH2), 80.09 [79.82] (Boc-quart. C), 110.44 [110.29] (arom. CH), 118.96 (allyl. CH₂), 125.74, 126.25, 128.08, 128.34, 128.43, 128.53, 128.82, 129.25 (arom. CH), 131.62 (allyl. CH), 135.52, 136.80, 137.31, 144.35, 152.62, 152.84, 154.52 (quart. C), 170.28 (C=O), 170.37 [170.91] (C=O); MS (FAB, NBA) m/z (%): 651 (1) $[M^+]$, 633 (5), 611 (7) $[M^+ - CH_2CH=CH_2]$, 597 (8) $[M^+ -$ OCH₂CH=CH₂], 555 (20) $[M^+ - COOC(CH_3)_3]$, 511 (60) $[M^+ OCH_2CH=CH_2-COO-C(CH_3)_3$, 304 (63), 154 (69), 91 (100); HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{36}H_{43}CN_2O_7$ 651.2837, found: 651.2560; elemental analysis: calcd C 66.39, H 6.67, N 4.30, found C 66.05, H 6.59, N 3.99. The S,S diastereomer was prepared following the same protocol in 77% yield.

N-tert-Butyloxycarbonyl-N-methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(S) phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine allyl ester $(28a)$: $[\alpha]_{D}^{\text{20}} = -306$ $(c=0.1, \text{ CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 1.13 [1.25] (s, 9H, Boc-CH₃), 2.31 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.50–3.25 (m, 6H, $3 \times \beta$ -CH₂), 2.75 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 3.40 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.81 [3.82] (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.62 (m, 2H, allyl-OCH₂), 4.75–5.39 (m, 6H, $2 \times a$ -CH, allyl-CHCH₂, PhCH₂O), 5.87 (m, 1H, allyl. CH), 6.68 (d, $\overline{3}J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.78 (d, $\overline{3}J = 8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.05 - 7.45, 7.53 (m, 10H, arom. CH).

(R)-N-Methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)phenyl-alanyl-N-methyl- (S)-phenylalanine allyl ester hydrochloride (29b): The Boc-protected dipeptide 28b (280 mg, 0.43 mmol) was dissolved in a saturated solution of HCl in dry diethyl ether (30 mL) and stirred for 90 min at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was used without further purification. Yield: 252 mg, 0.43 mmol, quantitative; m.p. > 105 °C, decomp.; $[a]_D^2 = -53.7$ (c = 0.36, MeOH);
¹H NMR (CDCL, 400 MHz); $\delta = 2.58$ (s. 3H, NCH), 2.67 (s. 3H, NCH) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 2.58 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.67 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.68 - 2.94 (m, 3H, β -CH₂), 3.35 (m, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.88 [3.90] (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.55 (t, ${}^{3}J = 8$ Hz, α -CH), 4.66 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7$ Hz, 2H, allyl-OCH₂), 5.03 [5.04] (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.20 – 5.98 (m, 3H, allyl-CH₂, α -CH), 5.94 (m, 1H, allyl-CH), 6.78 [7.00] (s, 2H, arom. CH), 7.30 (m, 8H, arom. CH), 7.45 (m, 2H, arom. CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 31.30$ (NCH₃), 31.86 (NCH₃), 34.36 (β -CH₂), 34.66 (β -CH₂), 56.09 (OCH₂), 57.55 (α -CH), 58.18 (α -CH), 65.92 (allyl-OCH₂), 74.71 (PhCH₂), 111.06 (arom. CH), 118.96 (allyl-CH₂), 127.00, 128.10, 128.28, 128.48, 128.74, 129.05, 129.11 (arom. CH), 131.51 (allyl-CH), 128.59, 129.23, 136.33, 137.02, 144.30, 153.58 (quart. C), 167.77 (C=O), 169.04 (C=O); MS (70 eV): m/z (%): 551 (100) $\overline{[M^+]}$, 517 (5), 304 (45), 289 (8), 220 (15), 214 (8), 134 (18), 91 (46); elemental analysis: calcd C 63.47, H 6.03, N 4.78, found C 63.24, H 6.26, N 4.46; $C_{31}H_{35}C_{23}N_2O_5$. The S, S diastereomer was prepared following the same protocol in quantitative yield.

(S)-N-Methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)phenyl-alanyl-N-methyl- (S)-phenylalanine allyl ester hydrochloride (29 a): $[a]_D^{\infty} = -9.5$ ($c = 1.05$, MeOH); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 2.32 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.65-3.50

Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, No. 1 © WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 1999 0947-6539/99/0501-0233 \$ 17.50+.50/0 233

FULL PAPER J. Rétey, H. Waldmann et al.

 $(m, 6H, NCH_3, 3 \times \beta$ -CH₂), 3.52 $(m, 1H, \beta$ -CH₂), 3.78 [3.81] (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.58 (m, 3H, allyl-OCH₂, a-CH), 5.00 [4.92] (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 5.25 (m, 3H, allyl-CHCH₂, α -CH), 5.82 (m, 1H, allyl. CH), 6.32 (d, ³J = 7 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.45 (d, $3J = 7$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.10 – 7.55 (m, 10 H, arom. CH).

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-(O-benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3 benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(R)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine allyl ester (7b): EDC (146 mg, 0.76 mmol) was added under nitrogen at 0° C to a solution of hydrochloride 29b (220 mg, 0.38 mmol), N -benzyloxycarbonyl- $(O$ -benzyloxycarbonyl)- (R) -tyrosine (255 mg, 0.56 mmol), HOAt (103 mg, 0.76 mmol), and NEt₃ (53 μ L, 0.38 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL). The solution was stirred for 16 h and allowed to warm to room temperature. After addition of ethyl acetate (60 mL) the mixture was washed with 0.5 m HCl ($2 \times 10 \text{ mL}$), and water (10 mL). The organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and after evaporation of the solvent in vacuo the residue was purified by chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = 2/3 (v/v), R_f = 0.35). Yield: 280 mg, 0.29 mmol, 75%, colorless oil; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}} = +62.2$ (c = 0.05, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 2.38 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.70 – 3.01 (m, 8H, 5 \times β -CH₂, NCH₃), 3.38 (dd, $^{2}J = 14$ Hz, $^{3}J = 7$ Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.61 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.58 (d, $^{3}J = 8$ Hz, 2H, allyl. OCH₂), 4.80 (dd, ³J = 13 Hz, ³J = 6 Hz, 1H, α -CH), 4.95 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.05 (d, ²J = 3 Hz, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.22 (d, ³J_{cis} = 8 Hz, 1H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.25 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.28 (d, ³J_{trans} = 14 Hz, 1H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.37 (dd, $3J = 7$ Hz, $3J = 11$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.53 (dd, $3J = 7$ Hz, $3J = 9$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.81 (m, 1H, allyl. CH=CH₂), 6.50 (d, β J = 8 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.65 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.00 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 2 H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.13 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.10 – 7.55 (m, 20H, arom. CH); ¹³C, NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz); $\delta = 30.58$ (NCH₃), 31.53 (NCH₃), 32.28 $(\beta$ -CH₂), 34.18 (β -CH₂), 38.10 (β -CH₂), 51.72 (α -CH), 52.40 (α -CH), 55.78 (OCH₃), 57.86 (α -CH), 65.91 (allyl-OCH₂), 67.07 (PhCH₂), 70.29 (PhCH₂), 74.55 (PhCH₂), 109.90 (arom. CH), 118.78 (allyl-CH=CH₂), 121.08, 125.57, 126.70, 127.57, 127.93, 128.21, 128.28, 128.35, 128.44, 128.53, 128.62, 128.69, 128.78 (arom. CH), 129.45 (quart. C), 130.46 (arom. CH), 131.71 (allyl-CH), 134.05, 134.76, 136.12, 136.67, 137.29, 144.23, 149.99 (quart. C), 152.65, 153.56, 155.32, 170.00, 170.32 (C=O); MS (FAB, NBA), m/z (%): 898 (1) $[M^+ - COOAll], 746 (1) [M^+ - COOAll - BnOCOO], 599 (7), 447 (5), 403$ (16), 256 (23), 120 (11), 91 (100); elemental analysis: calcd for $C_{56}H_{55}CIN_3O_{11}$: C 68.52, H 5.66, N 4.28, found: C 68.52, H 5.93, N 4.41. TheR,S,S diastereomer was prepared following the same protocol in a yield of 77%.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-(O-benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3-

benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(S)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine allyl ester (7a): $[a]_D^{\infty} = -72.4$ ($c = 0.21$, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): $\delta = 2.32$ [2.40](s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.63 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.65 - 2.95 (m, 5H, $5 \times \beta$ -CH₂), 3.32 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ³J = 5 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.60 (m, 3H, allyl-OCH₂, α -CH), 5.00 [4.95] (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 5.10 [5.05] (d, ²J = 2 Hz, 2 H, PhCH₂O), 5.21 (d, ³J_{cis} = 8 Hz, 1 H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.25 (m, 3H, PhCH₂O, α -CH), 5.28 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 15$ Hz, 1H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 5.81 (m, 1H, allyl-CH=CH₂), 6.63 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.71 (d, ³J – 8 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 713 (d $J=8$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.00 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.13 (d, $J=8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.10–755 (m, 20H, arom. CH) $3J = 8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.10 – 7.55 (m, 20H, arom. CH).

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-(O-benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3 benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(S)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine (30a): To a solution of allyl ester 7a (210 mg, 0.21 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) were added under nitrogen successively Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mg) and morpholine $(22 \mu L, 0.25 \text{ mmol})$. After stirring for 4 h at room temperature the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = 2/1 (v/v), R_f = 0.33) to yield a light yellow solid. Yield: 176 mg, 0.19 mmol), 89%; $[\alpha]_{D}^{\infty} = -88.2$ $(c=0.10, CH_2Cl_2)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 2.35 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.65 – 3.05 (m, 8H, $5 \times \beta$ -CH₂, NCH₃), 3.35 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ³J = 7 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 4.92 (m, 3H, PhCH₂, α -CH), 5.05 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.30 (m, 3H, PhCH₂, α -CH), 5.48 (m, 1H, α -CH), 6.58 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 6.70 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.00 – 7.53 (m, 24H, arom. CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): δ = 30.76 (NCH₃), 31.45 (NCH₃), 32.24 (β -CH₂), 33.88 (β -CH₂), 38.24 (β -CH₂), 51.86 (α -CH), 52.52 $(a$ -CH), 55.82 (OCH₃), 57.15 (a -CH), 67.12 (PhCH₂), 70.23 (PhCH₂), 74.61 (PhCH2), 110.04, 121.18, 125.49, 126.72, 127.33, 128.00, 128.22, 128.28, 128.42, 128.45, 128.60, 128.65, 128.73, 128.88 (arom. CH), 129.24 (quart. C), 130.49 (arom. CH), 134.15, 134.50, 134.83, 136.15, 136.69, 137.29, 144.26, 149.87, 152.74 (quart. C), 154.16, 155.56, 169.95, 171.33, 172.94 (C=O); MS (FAB, NBA): m/z (%): 942 (6) [M⁺], 763 (15) [M⁺ – N(Me) – Phe], 391 (18), 307 (30), 154 (100), 91 (70); HRMS (FAB): calcd for $C_{53}H_{51}CIN_3O_{11}$: 942.3369, found: 942.3090; elemental analysis: calcd C 67.61, H 5.47, N 4.46, found: C 67.90, H 5.67, N 4.25. The R,S,S diastereomer was prepared following the same protocol in a yield of 88%.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-(O-benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3-

benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(R)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylala**nine** (30**b**): $[a]_D^{\infty}$ = +28.7 (c = 0.12, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 2.50 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.67 – 3.00 (m, 8H, 5 β -CH₂, NCH₃), 3.31 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, 1 H, β -CH₂), 3.59 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.88 (m, 1 H, α -CH), 4.98 (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 5.09 (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 5.27 (s, 2H, PhCH₂O), 5.35 - 5.58 $(m, 2H, 2\alpha$ -CH $), 6.49$ (d, β J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH $), 6.65$ (d, β J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.00 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.21 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.08 - 7.55 (m, 20 H, arom. CH).

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-(O-benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3 benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(S)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine- (R) -(piperazine-2,5-dione)-methyl ester (31a): DEAD (54 μ L, 0.34 mmol) was added under nitrogen to a solution of acid 30 a (160 mg, 0.17 mmol), alcohol 6 (49 mg, 0.34 mmol), and PPh₃ (89 mg, 0.34 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL). After stirring for 24 h at room temperature the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = $2/1$ (v/v), R_f = 0.06). Yield: 97 mg, 0.09 mmol, 53%, white crystals; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -85.4$ $(c = 0.5, CH_{2}Cl_{2})$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): $\delta = 2.46$ [2.52] (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.86 [2.99] (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.86 – 3.00 (m, 4H, β -CH₂), 3.07 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ³J = 6 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 3.23 (dd, ²J = 14 Hz, ³J = 6 Hz, 1 H, β -CH₂), 3.76 [3.77] (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.90 (d, ²I – 9 Hz, 1 H, Glv_{-C}H₂), 4.26 (m, 1 H $J = 9$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.05 (d, $^{2}J = 9$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.26 (m, 1H, Ser-a-CH), 4.43 (dd, ²J = 11.4 Hz, ³J = 3 Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 4.50 (m, 1H, α -CH), 4.53 (dd, ²J = 11.4 Hz, ³J = 5 Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 4.98 (m, 5H, 2 \times PhCH₂, α -CH), 5.23 [5.24] (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.69 (dd, ³J = 9 Hz, ³J = 5 Hz, 1 H, α -CH), 6.73 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 6.80 (d, ³J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 6.87 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom-CH), 7.00 – 7.75 (m, 22H, arom. CH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.5 MHz): $\delta = 30.93$ (NCH₃), 33.33 (β -CH₂), 34.51 (NCH₃), 35.13 (β -CH₂), 37.69 (β -CH₂), 45.44 (Gly- β -CH₂), 53.64 (α -CH), 55.57 (α -CH), 56.65 (OCH₃), 62.95 (α -CH), 67.48 (Ser-OCH₂), 71.31 (2PhCH₂), 75.81 (PhCH₂), 111.86, 122.17, 127.89, 128.11, 128.67, 128.99, 129.05, 129.28, 129.32, 129.46, 129.69, 129.93, 130.02, 130.32, 131.42 (arom. CH), 132.25 (quart. C), 133.12, 133.79 (arom. CH), 136.09, 136.69, 138.24, 138.44, 138.68, 145.44, 151.56, 154.31, 155.11 (quart. C), 158.01, 167.67, 168.52, 171.21, 171.58, 173.45 (C=O); elemental analysis: calcd for $C_{58}H_{57}CIN_{5}O_{13}$: C 65.24, H 5.39, N 6.56, found C 65.11, H 5.57, N 6.36. The R,R,S,R diastereomer was prepared following the same protocol in a yield of 55%.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-(O-benzyloxycarbonyl)-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3-

benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(R)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine-(R)-(piperazine-2,5-dione)-methyl ester $(31b)$: $[\alpha]_{D}^{\infty} = +56.3$ (c= 0.32, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 250 MHz): δ = 2.37[2.30] (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.98 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.70 – 3.00 (m, 6H, β -CH₂), 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.87 (d, $3J = 8$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.02 (d, $2J = 8$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.21 (m, 1H, Ser- α -CH), 4.37 (dd, ²J = 11.5 Hz, ³J = 3 Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 4.47 (dd, ²J = 11.5 Hz, $3J = 5$ Hz, 1 H, Ser-OCH₂), 4.57 (m, 1 H, α -CH), 4.92 [4.93] (s, 2 H, PhCH₂), 5.03 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.25 (m, 1H, α -CH), 5.27 (s, 2H, PhCH₂), 5.44 (m, 1H, α -CH), 6.61 (d, β J = 8 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.75 (d, β J = 8 Hz, 1 H, arom. CH), 7.03 (d, $3J = 9$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom-CH), 7.15 – 7.50 (m, 22 H, arom. CH).

3-(2-(1-Z-Pentenyl)phenyl)-E-acryloyl-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(R)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine-

(R)-(piperazine-2,5-dione)-methyl ester, epi-pepticinnamin E (1b): Pd/C (10 mg, 10%) was added to a solution of Z-protected peptide ester 31b in a mixture of ethyl acetate (10 mL), EtOH (1 mL), and HOAc (1 mL). The suspension was stirred for 18 h under an atmosphere of hydrogen. Filtration over celite, washing with EtOH, and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo yielded a yellowish solid (ca. 14 mg), which was dissolved together with acid 2 (4.3 mg, 0.02 mmol), HOAt (4 mg, 0.022 mmol), and NEt₃ (2.8 μ L, 0.02 mmol) in dry DMF (1.5 mL). EDC (6 mg, 0.03 mmol) was added under nitrogen at 0° C and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. After addition of ethyl acetate (20 mL) and successive washing with 1m HCl (5 mL), concentrated NaHCO₃ solution (5 mL), and water (5 mL), the organic layer was dried with MgSO4 . Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo was followed by chromatography (ethyl acetate/EtOH = $10/1$ (v/v)) to yield a white solid. Yield: 4 mg, 0.004 mmol, 23%; $[a]_D^2 = +57$ (c = 0.20, MeOH); TLC: $R_f = 0.22$ (ethyl acetate/EtOH = 25/1 (v/v)); $R_f = 0.33$

 $(CHCl₃/MeOH = 20/3 (v/v)); R_f = 0.50 (ethyl acetate/EtOH = 10/1 (v/v));$ $R_f = 0.13$ (ethyl acetate); HPLC (Bischoff, Spherisorb ODS II, RP 18, 5 μ M; flow rate: 0.6 mLmin⁻¹): $t_R = 15.45$ min (CH₃CN/water = 50/50); t_R = 10.44 min (MeOH/water 75/25); separation of synthetic product and authentic natural product was observed after coinjection; the UV spectra are identical; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz): $\delta = 0.86$ (t, ³J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 1.41 (sext., ³J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.03 (dq, ³J = 7.5 Hz, ³J = 1.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₃), 2.38 [2.55] (s, 3H, NCH₃), 3.04 [3.00] (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.70 – 3.08 (m, 6H, β -CH₂), 3.60 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.91 (d, ²J = 17 Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.05 (d, ² $J = 17$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.26 (m, 1H, Ser- α -CH), 4.43 (dd, ²J = 11.4 Hz, ³J = 3 Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 4.53 (dd, ²J = 11.4 Hz,
³J – 5 Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 5.18 (t, ³J – 75 Hz, 1H, *a*-CH₂), 5.36 (dd, ³J – $J = 5$ Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 5.18 (t, $3J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.36 (dd, $3J =$ 5.6 Hz, $3J = 10.5$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.48 (dd, $3J = 6.1$ Hz, $3J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H, α -CH), 5.87 (dt, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 11.4 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{3}J = 4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, CH₂CH=CH), 6.45 (d, ${}^{3}J =$ 8.5 Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.51 (d, $3J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 6.52 (d, $3J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H, CH=CHC=O), 6.68 (d, $3J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. $J_{trans} = 15.7 Hz$, 1H, CH=CHC=O), 6.68 (d, $3J = 8.4 Hz$, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.03 (d, 3*J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.18-7.36 (m, 8H, arom. CH), 7.71 (d, ${}^{3}J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, arom. CH), 7.78 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 15.7 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, CH=CHCO); MS (FAB, glycerol): m/z (%): 908 (2) [M⁺], 737 (1.5), 645 (2), 603 (5), 547 (8), 461 (10), 185 (100); HRMS-FAB: calcd for $C_{49}H_{55}CIN_{5}O_{10}$: 908.3637, found: 908.3419.

3-(2-(1-Z-Pentenyl)phenyl)-E-acryloyl-(R)-tyrosyl-N-methyl-(3-benzyloxy-2-chloro-4-methoxy)-(S)-phenylalanyl-N-methyl-(S)-phenylalanine-

(R)-(piperazine-2,5-dione)-methyl ester, pepticinnamin E (1): Pd/C (5 mg, 10%) was added to a solution of Z-protected peptide ester 31 a in a mixture of ethyl acetate (1 mL), EtOH (1 mL), and HOAc (0.5 mL). This suspension was stirred for 18 h under an atmosphere of hydrogen. Filtration over celite, washing with EtOH, and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo yielded a yellowish solid (ca. 5 mg), which was dissolved in dry DMF (0.5 mL). At 0° C a mixture of NEt₃ and dry DMF (10 µL NEt₃ and 90 µL DMF, 12 μ L thereof, 0.008 mmol NEt₃) was added followed by a solution of ester 26 in dry DMF (27 mg 26 in 50 μ L dry DMF; 5 μ L thereof, 2.7 mg, 0.008 mmol). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and after 16 h the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by preparative TLC (Merck, PSC plates, silica gel $60 F_{254}$, 1 mm). Yield: 2.3 mg, 0.003 mmol, 33%, white solid; TLC: $R_f = 0.33$ (CHCl₃/MeOH = 20/ 3 (v/v)); $R_f = 0.50$ (ethyl acetate/EtOH = 10/1 (v/v)); $R_f = 0.13$ (ethyl acetate); HPLC (Bischoff, Spherisorb ODS II, RP 18, 5μ M; flow rate: 0.6 mL min⁻¹): $t_R = 18.10$ min (CH₃CN/water = 50/50); $t_R = 11.15$ min $(CH_3CN/water = 55/45);$ $t_R = 5.95$ min $(MeOH/water = 80/20);$ $t_R =$ 7.95 min (MeOH/water = $75/25$); no separation of synthetic and authentic natural product was observed after coinjection; the UV spectra are identical; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -156$ (c=0.05, MeOH), authentic natural product: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{\text{20}} = -164$ (c=0.05, MeOH); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz): $\delta = 0.84$ $(t, 3J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H, \text{ CH}_2\text{CH}_3)$, 1.39 (sext., $3J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 2H, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)$, 2.01 $(dq, {}^{3}J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J = 1.1 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_{2} \text{CH}_{2} \text{CH}_{3}), 2.29 \text{ (s, 3 H, NCH}_{3}), 2.46 \text{ (s,}$ 3H, NCH₃), 2.62 (dd, ²J = 13 Hz, ³J = 7 Hz, 1H, β -CH₂), 2.72-3.02 (m, 4H, β -CH₂), 3.09 (dd, ²J = 15 Hz, ³J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H, β -CH₂), 3.57 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.91 (d, $^2J = 17.9$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), 4.03 (d, $^2J = 17.9$ Hz, 1H, Gly-CH₂), $4.27 \text{ (m, 1H, Ser-α-CH)}, 4.40 \text{ (dd, }^2J = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, ^3J = 3.2 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H, Ser-OCH}_2),$ 4.55 (dd, $^2J = 11.4$ Hz, $^3J = 4.3$ Hz, 1H, Ser-OCH₂), 5.01 (t, $^3J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H, a -CH), 5.20 (dd, ³J = 4.5 Hz, ³J = 12.1 Hz, 1H, a -CH), 5.56 (dd, ³J = 4.6 Hz,
³J – 9.9 Hz, 1H, a -CH), 5.87 (dt, ³J – 11.4 Hz, ³J – 76 Hz, 1H $J = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \alpha\text{-CH}, 5.87 \text{ (dt, } ^3J_{\text{cis}} = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, ^3J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H},$ CH₂CH=CH), 6.44 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{trans}} = 15.7$ Hz, 1H, CH=CHC=O), 6.50 (s, 1H, arom. CH), 6.60 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{cis}} = 11.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, CH₂CH=C*H*), 6.61 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, arom. CH), 6.72 (d, $3J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.03 (d, $3J =$ 8.4 Hz, 2H, Tyr-arom. CH), 7.04 (m, 2H, arom. CH), 7.18 - 7.36 (m, 6H, arom. CH), 7.67 (d, $3J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H, arom. CH), 7.77 (d, $3J_{trans} = 15.7$ Hz, 1H, CH=CHCO); MS (FAB, glycerol): m/z (%): 909 (0.3) $[M^+ + H]$, 908 (0.5) $[M^+]$, 737 (0.2) , 645 (0.5) , 603 (2) , 547 (1.5) , 461 (2) , 369 (5) , 277 (17) , 185 (100), 93 (95); HRMS-FAB: calcd for C₄₉H₅₅ClN₅O₁₀: 908.3637, found: 908.3450.

Determination of biological activity: A buffer solution (1 mL) was prepared using aqueous solutions of Tris HCl ($pH = 7.5$, 1m, 0.5 mL), dithiothreitol (DTT) (1m, 0.05 mL), $MgCl₂$ (1m, 0.05 mL), $ZnCl₂$ (1mm, 0.1 mL), and water (0.3 mL). The enzymatic reactions were run in a total volume of 0.4 mL. Therefore the buffer solution (0.04 mL) was placed in a cuvette, followed by the calculated amount of water, the enzyme preparation (0.002 mL), and the calculated amount of methanolic inhibitor solution. After preincubation at 25° C for 10 min the calculated amounts of aqueous Dans-GCVLS solution and methanolic FPP solution were added

and the fluorescence emission was observed spectroscopically for 10 min at 308C. No preincubation was performed in cases where no inhibitor was added (see below); in these cases the enzyme preparation was added last.

Determination of K_M **for Dans-GCVLS:** The final concentration of peptide in the reaction mixture was varied between 0.9μ m and 23.4μ m, that of FPP was constant at 75.0 μ m. The value of K_M was determined as $K_M = 9 \mu$ m.

Determination of K_I with respect to Dans-GCVLS: The final concentration of peptide in the reaction mixture was varied between 0.9μ m and 28.1μ m, that of FPP was constant at 75.0μ m. The concentration of inhibitor was constant at 5.5 μ m and 27.5 μ m. The K_I value was determined as K_I = 31.9 μ m and $K_1 = 28.6 \text{ µM}$.

Determination of K_M **for FPP:** The final concentration of FPP in the reaction mixture was varied between $2.9 \mu m$ and $115.1 \mu m$, that of Dans-GCVLS was constant at 84.3 μ m. The K_M value was determined as K_M = 14 u.m.

Determination of K_I **with respect to FPP:** The final concentration of FPP in the reaction mixture was varied between 2.9 μ m and 115.1 μ m, that of Dans-GCVLS was constant at 84.3μ m. The concentration of inhibitor was constant at 13.8 μ m. The K_I value was determined as K_I = 7.64 μ m.

Determination of IC₅₀ values: The final concentration of FPP in the reaction mixture was constant at 75μ m, that of the substrate peptide constant at 42.1μ M.

Determination of IC_{50} value of pepticinnamin E (1a): The concentration of inhibitor was varied between 0 and 68.8μ m. The enzymatic activity varied between 100% and 40%. The IC_{50} value was determined by extrapolation as $42 \mu M$.

Determination of IC₅₀-value of *epi*-pepticinnamin E (1b): The concentration of inhibitor was varied between 0 and $264 \,\mu$ M. The enzymatic activity varied between 100% and 29%. The IC_{50} value was determined by extrapolation as 237 um.

Determination of IC₅₀ value of acid 30a: The concentration of inhibitor was varied between 0 and 89μ m. The enzymatic activity varied between 100% and 31%. The IC₅₀ value was determined by extrapolation as 67 μ m.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. P. H. thanks the Land Baden Württemberg for a scholarship for graduate students.

- [1] a) M. Barbacid, Annu. Rev. Biochem. $1987, 56, 779-827$; b) For a comprehensive discussion see: H. Lodish, D. Baltimore, A. Berk, S. L. Zipursky, P. Matsudeira, J. Darnell, Molecular Cell Biology, 3rd ed., W. H. Freeman, New York, 1995, Chapter 20.
- [2] a) A. Levitzki, Eur. J. Biochem. 1994, 226, 1-13; b) E. F. Pai, U. Krengel, G. A. Petsko, R. S. Goody, W. Kabsch, A. Wittinghofer, $EMBO$ J. 1990, 9, 2351 = 2359.
- [3] J. F. Hancock, H. Paterson, C. J. Marshall, Cell 1990, 63, 133-139.
- [4] Reviews: a) S. Ayral-Kaloustian, J. S. Skotnicki, Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1996, 31, 171-180; b) G. L. Bolton, J. S. Sebolt-Leopold, J. C. Hodges, Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 1994, 29, 165-174; c) F. Tamanoi, Trends Biochem. Sci. 1993, 18, 350 - 353; d) R. M. J. Liskamp, Angew. Chem. 1994, 106, 313-315; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, $633 - 636$
- [5] L. Sepp-Lorenzino, Z. Ma, E. Rands, N. E. Kohl, J. B. Gibbs, A. Oliff, N. Rosen, Cancer Res. 1995, 55, 5302 - 5309.
- [6] P. F. Lebowitz, J. P. Davide, G. C. Prendergast, Mol. Cell. Biol. 1995, $15, 6613 - 6622.$
- [7] J. B. Gibbs, S. L. Graham, G. D. Hartman, K. S. Koblan, N. E. Kohl, C. A. Omer, A. Oliff, Curr. Op. Chem. Biol. 1997, 1, 197-203.
- [8] a) J. B. Gibbs, A. Oliff, Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1997, 37, 143 -166; b) I. Sattler, F. Tamanoi in Molecular Biology Intelligence Unit Series (Eds.: M. H. Austin), RG Landes, 1996, pp. 95-137; c) S. L. Graham, T. M. Williams, Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents $1996, 6, 1295 - 1304$.
- [9] J. E. Buss, J. C. Marsters Jr., Chem. Biol. 1995, 2, 787-791.

Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, No. 1 © WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH, D-69451 Weinheim, 1999 0947-6539/99/0501-0235 \$ 17.50+.50/0 235

FULL PAPER J. Rétey, H. Waldmann et al.

- [10] K. Shiomi, H. Yang, J. Inokoshi, D. Van der Pyl, A. Nakagawa, H. Takeshima, S. Omura, J. Antibiot. 1993, 46, 229-234.
- [11] Part of these results were published in preliminary form: K. Hinterding, P. Hagenbuch, J. Rétey, H. Waldmann, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 1298-1301; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1236-1239.
- [12] N. Shigematsu, K. Hayashi, N. Kayakiri, S. Takase, M. Hashimoto, H. Tanaka, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 170-175.
- [13] U. Schöllkopf, U. Groth, C. Deng, Angew. Chem. 1981, 93, 793-795; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 798-800.
- [14] J. K. Faulkner, D. Woodcock, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 4737-4738.
- [15] H. Hayashu, K. Nakanishi, C. Brandon, J. Marmur, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8749 - 8757.
- [16] a) J. R. McDermott, N. L. Benoiton, Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 1915 -1919; b) J. R. Coggins, N. L. Benoiton, Can. J. Chem. 1971, 49, 1968 -1973.
- [17] C. Brown, M. V. Sargent, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1969, 1818-1820.
- [18] E. Fischer, T. Roesner, J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1910, 202-213.
- [19] a) G. Höfle, W. Steglich, Synthesis 1972, 619-629; b) J. Inanaga, K. Hirata, H. Saeki, T. Katsuki, M. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 1989 = 1993.
- [20] O. Mitsunobu, Synthesis 1981 , $1 28$.
- [21] An authentic sample of the natural product Pepticinnamin E was kindly provided by Prof. Satoshi Omura, The Kitasato Institute, Tokyo, Japan.
- [22] D. L. Pompliano, R. P. Gomez, N. J. Anthony, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7945 - 7946.
- [23] R. Gomez, L. E. Goodman, S. K. Tripathy, E. O'Rourke, V. Manne, F. Tamanoi, Biochem. J. 1993, 289, 25-31.
- [24] a) S. Tabor, C. C. Richardson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1985, 82, 1074 -1078; b) P. Hagenbuch, Universität Karlsruhe, planned Dissertation.
- [25] The K_M value of the structural related recombinant human PFTase for the Dans-GCVLS substrate is: $K_M = 1.4 \mu M$.^[22] The K_M value of the yeast PFTase for the FPP-substrate is described as $K_M = 8.1 \,\mu\text{m}$.^[24]
- [26] C. Brown, M. V. Sargent, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1969, 1818-1820.
- [27] R. G. F. Giles, V. R. L. Son, M. V. Sargent, Aust. J. Chem. 1990, 43, $777 - 781.$
- [28] S. T. Ross, R. G. Franz, J. W. Wilson, R. A. Hahn, H. M. Sarau, J. Heterocyclic Chem. 1986, 23, 1805-1814.
- [29] H. Kessler, B. Kutscher, G. Mager, E. Grell, J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1983, 1541 - 1550.
- [30] E. Katchalski, M. Sela, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 5284-5289.

Received: June 5, 1998 [F1192]